1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA JAMES HINES, 10 11 Plaintiff, No. CIV S-03-2385 GEB EFB P 12 VS. 13 NUCKLE, et al., Defendants. 14 ORDER 15 16 Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis with a civil 17 rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On September 7, 2006, plaintiff filed a request for 18 transfer to a different facility. Inmates do not have a constitutional right to be housed at a 19 particular facility or institution or to be transferred, or not transferred, from one facility or 20 institution to another. Olim v. Wakinekona, 461 U.S. 238, 244-48 (1983); Meachum v. Fano, 21 427 U.S. 215, 224-25 (1976); Johnson v. Moore, 948 F.2d 517, 519 (9th Cir. 1991) (per curiam). 22 Nor does an inmate have a constitutional right to any particular classification. Moody v. 23 Daggett, 429 U.S. 78, 88 n.9 (1976); Hernandez v. Johnston, 833 F.2d 1316, 1318 (9th Cir. 24 1987). Alleged deprivations of rights arising from prison officials' housing and classification 25 decisions do not give rise to a federal constitutional claim encompassed by the Fourteenth 26 Amendment. Board of Regents v. Roth, 408 U.S. 564, 569 (1972). State statutes and regulations

Case 2:03-cv-02385-GEB-EFB Document 93 Filed 11/30/06 Page 2 of 2

give rise to an interest protected by the Fourteenth Amendment only where the restraint on a prisoner's liberty "imposes atypical and significant hardship on the inmate in relation to the ordinary incidents of prison life." Sandin v. Conner, 515 U.S. 472, 483 (1995). Inmates have no federal constitutional right to particular procedures established by state law. Toussaint v. McCarthy, 801 F.2d 1080, 1096-97 (9th Cir. 1986). On the basis of these authorities, the court will deny plaintiff's request.

On November 7, 2006, plaintiff requested the appointment of counsel. The United States Supreme Court has ruled that district courts lack authority to require counsel to represent indigent prisoners in § 1983 cases. Mallard v. United States Dist. Court, 490 U.S. 296,

298 (1989). In certain exceptional circumstances, the court may request the voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). *Terrell v. Brewer*, 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); *Wood v. Housewright*, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36 (9th Cir. 1990). In the present case, the court does not find the required exceptional circumstances. Plaintiff's motion for the appointment of counsel will therefore be denied.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Plaintiff's September 7, 2006, request to be transferred to a different facility is denied.
- 2. Plaintiff's November 7, 2006, motion for the appointment of counsel is denied.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

19 DATED: November 29, 2006